

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of any changes to the claims and the remarks herein. Please contact the undersigned to conduct a telephone interview in accordance with MPEP 713.01 to resolve any remaining requirements and/or issues prior to sending another Office Action. Relevant portions of MPEP 713.01 are included on the signature page of this amendment.

At the suggestion of the Examiner, claims 33 to 38 have been written in independent form and should be allowable.

Claim 10 has been amended to correct typographical error to overcome the rejection thereof under 37 USC 112.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 18, 20, 21, 23, 27, 28, 30, 31, 42 and 43 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Isao et al. Claims 3-5, 9-17, 19, 22, 24-26, 29, 32 and 44-47 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over US 5,952,128 and US 5,995,223. Claims 39 and 40 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,952,128 and US 6,335,124. Claim 41 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,952,128, US 5,995,223 and US 6,335,124. Applicants respectfully disagree for the reasons given in their prior response. Independent claims 1 and 7 have been amended to recite "top surface layer" in response to the Examiner's comments in Section 8 of the above identified Office Action.

In view of the changes to the claims and the remarks herein, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the above-identified application. If the Examiner wishes to discuss the application further, or if additional information would be required, the undersigned will cooperate fully to assist in the prosecution of this application.

Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper and any previous paper to deposit account 09-0468.

If the above-identified Examiner's Action is a final Action, and if the above-identified application will be abandoned without further action by applicants, applicants file a Notice of Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences appealing the final rejection of the claims in the above-identified Examiner's Action. Please charge deposit account 09-0468 any fee necessary to enter such Notice of Appeal.

In the event that this amendment does not result in allowance of all such claims, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

MPEP 713.01 states in part as follows:

Where the response to a first complete action includes a request for an interview or a telephone consultation to be initiated by the examiner, ... the examiner, as soon as he or she has considered the effect of the response, should grant such request if it appears that the interview or consultation would result in expediting the case to a final action.

Respectfully submitted,

By \_\_\_\_\_

Dr. Daniel P. Morris, Esq.  
Reg. No. 32,053  
Phone No. (914) 945-3217

IBM Corporation  
Intellectual Property Law Dept.  
P. O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Docket No. YOR920010135US2      Page 15 of 15

Serial No. 10/657,665